

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/654,375	09/03/2003	Kyong-Mo Bang	TESSERA 3.0-326	4192	
38091	7590 08/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LERNER DAVID, LITENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			NGUYEN, D	NGUYEN, DONGHAI D	
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/654,375	BANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	Idress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 I	February 2004		
·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	-	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			i
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			i
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	5D 4 404(I)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	•	• •
	Livarriller. Note the attached	Office Action of form F	10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been	pplication No	Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis Attachment(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT) 	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, drawn to Fig. 3, readable on claims 2-8.

Species B, drawn to Fig. 10, readable on claims 91-13 and 17-23.

Species C, drawn to Figs. 8A-D, readable on claims 33-37.

Species D, drawn to Figs. 15-16, readable on claims 40-42.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to <u>elect a single disclosed species</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 14-32, 23, 24, 38, and 39 are generic claims.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Raymond Garguilo Jr. on 8/15/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-4566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (571)-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,375 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN August 18, 2005

MINHTRINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER